

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,057	02/02/2001	Robert Sesek 10002445-1 9		9354
75	10/26/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			LETT, THOMAS J	
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration			
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/776,057	SESEK, ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Lett	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	<u>ıly 2006</u> .					
· <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23,26-28,31 and 34-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23,26-28,31 and 34-37</u> is/are rejec	ted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: it depends from itself.

In a telephone interview with Attorney Steven Ormiston on 17 October 2006, Claim 28 is to depend from claim 27:

28. (new) The system of Claim 28 27, wherein the printer configured to print the print job if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature comprises the printer configured to print the print job only if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nerlikar (USPN 5,629,981 A) in view of Olsen (USPN 6,952,780 B2).

Regarding claim 21, Nerlikar discloses a method, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/776,057

Art Unit: 2625

the printer receiving a selection of one of the secured print jobs for printing (col. 12, lines 33-37);

the printer comparing an entered bio signature for a user to the authorized bio signature for the selected print job (col. 12, lines 33-37); and

the printer printing the selected print job if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature for the selected print job (col. 12, lines 33-37).

Does not disclose a printer displaying a plurality of pending secured print jobs each having an authorized bio signature associated therewith.

Olsen et al teaches that the verified user may then view a document list from a printer interface, col. 10, lines 51-54.

Nerlikar and Olsen et al are analogous art because they are from the similar problem solving area of secure document delivery. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the feature of display of pending documents taught by Olsen et al to the receiving printer of Nerlikar in order to obtain a device capable of showing pending documents. The motivation for doing so would be to select documents that the user intends and is allowed to print.

Regarding claim 22, Nerlikar discloses a method of Claim 21, further comprising prompting a user to enter a bio signature of the user at the printer after receiving a selection of one of the secured print jobs for printing (col. 12, lines 33-37).

Regarding claim 23, Nerlikar discloses a method of Claim 21, wherein the printer printing the selected print job if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature for the selected print job comprises the printer printing the selected print job

Application/Control Number: 09/776,057

Art Unit: 2625

only if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature for the selected print job (col. 12, lines 33-37).

Regarding claim 26, Nerlikar discloses a method of Claim 21, further comprising tracking usage of the printer according to an entered bio signature (a document sent by secretary A is not allowed to be printed until secretary B initializes printing by validating secretary B's identity with the RFID/biometric security, col. 12, lines 13-37).

3. Claims 27, 28, 31, and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guillemin et al (USPN 7,120,605 B2) in view of well-known prior art.

Regarding claim 27, Guillemin et al disclose a printing system, comprising: a computer (workstation 110, col. 2, lines 58-60);

a first biometric identification device (code entry device 118, col. 2, lines 60-63) operatively connected to the computer (see Fig. 1) for entering bio signatures directly into the computer;

the computer configured to associate an authorized bio signature entered through the first biometric identification device with a secured print job (coding information is associated with the print request, col. 2, lines 63-67);

a printer (printer 114, col. 2, line 59) operatively connected to the computer (see Fig. 1);

a second biometric identification device (code entry device 118, col. 2, lines 60-63) operatively connected to the printer (see Fig. 1) for entering bio signatures directly into the printer; and

Application/Control Number: 09/776,057

Art Unit: 2625

the printer configured to compare a bio signature of a user entered through the second biometric identification device to an authorized bio signature associated with a secured print job received from the computer, and print the print job if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature (see at least, col. 4, lines 45-52).

Regarding claim 28, Guillemin et al disclose a system of Claim 27, wherein the printer configured to print the print job if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature comprises the printer configured to print the print job only if the entered bio signature matches the authorized bio signature (see at least, col. 4, lines 45-52).

Regarding claim 31, Guillemin et al disclose a system of Claim 27, wherein the printer is further configured to track usage of the printer according to an entered bio signature (the system tracks the verification process and can decide to delete datacol. 5, lines 30-37)

Regarding claim 34, Guillemin et al disclose a system of Claim 27, wherein the printer comprises a fax machine (it was well-known in the art to transfer secure documents using fax machines and further, printers integrated with facsimile capability was well-known in the art).

Regarding claim 35, Guillemin et al disclose a system of Claim 27, wherein the bio signatures comprise an electronic representation of a user's fingerprint (col. 4, lines 38-41).

Claim 36, a method claim, is rejected for the same reasons as claim 27.

Claim 37, a method claim, is rejected for the same reasons as claim 28.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Drabble (WO 200062474 A1) teaches secure document transmission using frontend biometric entry and biometric recipient identity verification on the receiving end.

Shima (JP 2000172478 A) teaches a printer having a fingerprint recognition system to retrieve secure documEnts having a biometric association.

Dewell et al (WO 009947989 A1) teaches of biometrically granting access to a computer.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Lett whose telephone number is (571) 272-7464. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571) 272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

T.II

Head

RING Y. RÓON PRIMARY EXAMINER